



# TCDSB K to 12 Professional Learning Form 2017-2018

|                     |  |
|---------------------|--|
| SCHOOL - Prin - Sup | REGINA MUNDI CATHOLIC SCHOOL- Principal- R. Agostino, Superintendent<br>M. Caccamo |
|---------------------|--|

**Based on analysis of the data, in collaboration with staff identify a critical learning need area or strategy that addresses the learning of your school community (i.e., numeracy, assessment, problem solving, inquiry learning, learning skills, etc.)**

## BACKGROUND – DATA ANALYSIS

| Student Achievement Data (EQAO, CAT4, etc.)  | Perceptual Data (Survey data, School Climate, etc.)  | Demographic Data (N tiles, etc)  | Program Data (Empower, 5 <sup>th</sup> Block, Taking Stock, SSI, etc.) | Other (SSLN, EDI, etc.)      |
|--|--|--|--|------------------------------|
| - Gr. 3 & 6 EQAO Numeracy Scores<br>- EQAO Numeracy Data Analysis-<br>- CAT/4<br>- Report Card Marks | -Teacher observation and diagnostic assessments<br>- School Climate Survey- 75% like or love school, 92% feel they try hard, 96% believe learning is important | - Parent Education= Ntile 3;<br>- ESL pop.= Ntile 8;<br>-Low Income =Ntile 8 | - LI<br>- ESL  | - SSLN focus: KTCA questions |

|   |   |
|---|---|
| <b>URGENT CRITICAL LEARNING NEED</b><br>Explain in 140 characters or less ... student learning problems to solve - Professional learning focus for this year. | - Improve achievement in math thinking and application type questions to address the drop in EQAO scores from Grade 3 to Grade 6 in numeracy  |
| From the data, what learning conditions will support increased achievement?   | -continued SSLN focus on KTCA<br>- greater teacher focus on creating a 'thinking' classroom through regular application of knowledge in problem solving<br>- EQAO problem of the week- application and thinking questions |

# TCDSB K to 12 Professional Learning Form 2017-2018

## PROFESSIONAL LEARNING PLAN TO MEET URGENT CRITICAL NEED:

|   |  |
|---|--|
| Collaborative Inquiry Question (What is the problem of practice?) | Why do EQAO numeracy scores drop from grade 3 to grade 6?<br>How do we increase the number of grade 6 students who achieve the provincial standard in EQAO?<br>How do we narrow the discrepancy between report card marks in math and EQAO scores in numeracy? |
|---|--|

|  |   |
|--|---|
| If... Then... Statement:   |   |
| Learning Goals (related to urgent critical learning need)  | If students are provided greater opportunities to answer thinking and application type questions, then more students will achieve the provincial standard in numeracy.  |
| Marker groups that will receive intervention (subgroups e.g., achieving at 2.5-2.9, Applied, gender, Grade(s), etc)  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Numeracy Diagnostic Assessment of students-level 2 to 2+ 'students to watch' based on numeracy diagnostic assessment (provided by classroom teachers in October)</li> </ul>  |
| Actions/Interactions (What will we do to meet our goals?)  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Greater focus on the consolidation portion of the math lesson will allow students to explore different strategies/steps to arrive to the answer</li> <li>- Problem of the Week (Application and Thinking questions)</li> <li>- Include more application and thinking questions in daily work and tests.</li> <li>- Periodically include thinking and application questions from past chapters for consolidation of learning (instead of only providing questions from the current unit of study)</li> <li>- Monitor progress by providing pre- &amp; post questions with regularly scheduled 'check-ins' and tracking sheet (September to November; November to February; February to May).</li> <li>- Develop tests that reflect more balanced assessment based on EQAO and Grade 9 KTCA</li> </ul> |
| What professional learning have you engaged in (or will you engage in) to ensure that culturally responsive pedagogy is embedded in teaching and learning? | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Engage staff in opportunities to explore inquiry-based approaches</li> <li>- Professional learning through the Capacity Building Series</li> <li>- Co-learn with staff on what makes up a thinking and application question and to develop success criteria to achieve a level 3 or higher</li> </ul>  |



# TCDSB K to 12 Professional Learning Form 2017-2018

|  |  |
|--|--|
| Strategies to address the needs of students who have an IEP or are ELL | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Differentiated instruction</li> <li>- Provide greater daily opportunities for students to work with peers and teachers on application and thinking questions</li> <li>- Practice mental math strategies to use as a tool when answering questions</li> <li>- Consider prior knowledge, interests, learning style when determining questions</li> </ul>  |
| PD Required for Staff  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Staff to work with colleagues and math resource teacher to develop application and thinking question bank for one or two math strands (for student work and student assessments)</li> </ul>   |
| Measures/Evidence of Success to be used                                | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- EQAO 2018 results in Grade 6 numeracy</li> <li>- Report Card marks in math will align with 2018 EQAO results of numeracy in Grade 3 and 6</li> </ul>  |
| Resources Required (human, material, #code days)                       | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- ½ Code Day (Total 4 Days) per grade (Grades 1-8), to examine and then create thinking and application questions for 2 strands over the school year</li> <li>- ½ Code Day (Total 4 Days) per grade for moderated marking</li> <li>- ½ Code Day per term (Total 4 Days), per grade for co-teaching/observation of 'students to watch' during math lesson</li> </ul> <p><b>Total code days: 12 Code Days</b></p> |

## Questions to Consider:

- Are we being collaborative in our decision making?
- Are we improving instructional leadership in our scho
- How are all stakeholders involved in the Professional Learning Plan?
- Does the plan build capacity amongst our staff related to student need?
- Are we using high yield instructional strategies? What does research say about this student learning problem?
- Have we increased the amount and quality of learning related to our student need?