

SCHOOL - Prin - SupSt. Vincent de Paul Catholic School
D. Kairys-J.Wujek

Based on analysis of the data, in collaboration with staff identify a <u>critical learning need</u> area or strategy that addresses the learning of your <u>school community</u> (i.e., numeracy, assessment, problem solving, inquiry learning, learning skills, etc.)

BACKGROUND – DATA ANALYSIS

Student	Percentual Data	Demographic Data	Program Data	Other
Achievement Data	(Survey data	(N tiles etc)	(Empower 5 th	(SSLN EDLetc)
(FOAO CAT4)	School Climate	(1) (10, 600)	Block Taking	
etc)	etc.)		Stock SSL etc.)	
2016-2017 EOAO	SEE 2017	Gr 3 and Gr 6	Empower -7	A1 Students have
Detailed Item	-#16-4 6 and #22	Contextual	students	IFPs
Information	were identified to	Information $FOAO$	I I _3 students	-7 Empower
-Patterning and	be in the early	2016-2017	LI -5 students	
Algebra Strand	stages of	Demographic Data		-12 Gifted
achievement was	development	Gr 3		-4 I D
the lowest of the 5	-4 6 Resources for	Number of students		-4 Autism
strands for both Gr	students are	36		-11 not identified
3 and Gr. 6	relevant current	50		
students	accessible	Gender		*18% of the
students.	inclusive and	Female 15		students in Gr. 6
ΕΟΑΟ	monitored for bias	Male 21		have an IEP (not
Gr 3 Mathematics	-#22 The			gifted)
Level $1 - 0\%$	community	Student Status		Silled)
Level $2 - 8\%$	understands and	-ELL learners 6%		
Level $3 - 81\%$	responds to the	-Students with Sp		
Level $3 = 0170$ Level $4 = 8\%$	professional needs	Ed needs		
	of staff	(excluding gifted)		
Gr. 6 Mathematics	of stuff.	6%		
Exempt - 3%				
Level $1 - 18\%$		Place of Birth		
Level $2 - 21\%$		-Born in Canada		
Level 3 – 27%		89%		
Level 4 – 30%		-Born outside		
		Canada 11%		
Cat4 Mathematics				
and Computation		Language		
results for Gr. 2, Gr.		-First language		
5, Gr. 7 2016-2017		learned at home was		
		other than English		
National Stanine 4		19%		
and Above				
Mathematics		Year Student		
Gr. 2 – 100%		Entered Current		
Gr. 5 – 100%		School		

TCDSB K to 12 Professional Learning Form 2017-2018



			UIO School
Gr. 7 – 100%	-Year of assessment		
	14%		
Computation	Veer prior to		
Gr. 2 – 94%	assessment 0%		
Gr. 5 – 86%	-2 years prior to		
Gr. 7 – 96%	assessment 14%		
Mean National	Gr 6		
	Namela a sefector de sete		
Percentile	-Number of students		
Mathematics	33		
Gr. 2 – 90			
Gr. 5 – 72	Gender		
Gr $7 - 80$	-Female 52%		
01.7 00	Molo 48%		
a vi	-Wale 4070		
Computation	~ . ~		
Gr. 2 – 89	Student Status		
Gr. 5 – 56	-English language		
Gr. 7 - 63	learners 12%		
	-Students with		
	Students with		
	Sp.Ed, needs		
	excluding gifted		
	24%		
	Place of Birth		
	Born in Canada		
	91%		
	-Outside Canada		
	9%		
	-In Canada one year		
	or more 3%		
	In Canada mana		
	-In Canada more		
	than three years 6%		
	Language		
	-First language		
	learned at home was		
	other than English		
	12%		
	Year Student		
	Entered Current		
	School		
	Vear of the		
	assessment 3%		
	-Year prior to		
	assessment 15%		
	-2 years prior to		
	assessment 9%		
	abbeabilient //0	1	1

TCDSB K to 12 Professional Learning Form 2017-2018



	U	
	-3 years or more	
	prior to assessment	
	73%	

URGENT CRITICAL LEARNING NEED Explain in 140 characters or less student	To improve thinking and application skills across the five strands in order to close gaps of foundational Math Concepts.
learning focus for this year.	
From the data, what learning conditions will support increased achievement?	Student capacity of responding to multi step thinking and application problems.

PROFESSIONAL LEARNING PLAN TO MEET URGENT CRITICAL NEED:

Conaborative inquiry	How to break down a multi-step thinking and application problem into a sequence
Question (What is the	of steps and provide direct instruction for students?
problem of practice?)	

If Then Statement:	If students are able to identify the operational steps necessary to answer a multi-step thinking and application problem then their capacity of answering these types of problems will improve.
Learning Goals (related	Students will identify the operational steps necessary to answer a multi-step
to urgent critical learning need)	thinking and application problem
Marker groups that will	Use CAT 4 data (Stanine 4-6) and teacher assessment for learning to determine the
receive intervention	marker groups.
(subgroups e.g.,	
achieving at 2.5-2.9,	
Applied, gender,	
Grade(s), etc)	
Actions/Interactions	-Review Ministry criteria of knowledge, thinking and application questions using
(What will we do to meet	the achievement chart from the Math Curriculum Document as a resource.
our goals?)	-Categorize sample problems from EQAO by knowledge or thinking and
	application.
	-Direct teaching of multi-step thinking and application problems across the strands
	by identifying each step and key vocabulary of the problem.
	-Assessment for learning.
	-Consistent use of Math Language across grades and divisions.
What professional	-Co-planning with divisional partners
learning have you	-SSLN
engaged in (or will you	-Team teaching
engage in) to ensure that	
culturally responsive	
pedagogy is embedded in	
teaching and learning?	

TCDSB K to 12 Professional Learning Form 2017-2018



Strategies to address the	-Ability groupings
needs of students who	-Modeling
have an IEP or are ELL	-Small group instruction
	-Use of technology
	-Reduce the number of questions used to assess a skill
	-Differentiate instruction
	-Individual conferences
	-Scaffolding
	-Descriptive feedback
PD Required for Staff	-Time to co-plan with divisions for continuity of curriculum
	-SSLN
	-Professional Development facilitated/guided by Math Department
	-Math Leads
Measures/Evidence of	-EQAO
Success to be used	-CAT 4
	-Report Cards
	-Observation
	-Classroom Assessment
	-tracking of Marker Groups
Resources Required	-Code days for co-planning/professional development
(human, material, #code	-Special Education resource
days)	-Additional Math Resources
	-Math bins for students

Questions to Consider:

- Are we being collaborative in our decision making?
- Are we improving instructional leadership in our school?
- How are all stakeholders involved in the Professional Learning Plan?
- Does the plan build capacity amongst our staff related to student need?
- Are we using high yield instructional strategies? What does research say about this student learning problem?
- Have we increased the amount and quality of learning related to our student need?